UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,215	10/14/2003	William A. Welsh	67008-156PUS1;5691	4100
	7590 12/24/200 ASKEY & OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER		
400 WEST MA		JOHNSON, VICKY A		
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/685,215	WELSH, WILLIAM A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vicky A. Johnson	3656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MEDICAL STATE OF TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-21,23,24 and 28 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22,25-27 and 29-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	s/are withdrawn from consideration requirement. er. erted or b) □ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached office	Action of format 10-102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,215 Page 2

Art Unit: 3656

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 11-21, 23-24, and 28 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22, 25-27, and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda (JP 61164109) in view of Perry (UD 6,813,973).

Ueda et al disclose a vibration isolation system for reducing vibrations in a rotating system rotatable about an axis of rotation, comprising: a multiple of independently rotatable masses (21a, 21b) disposed about an axis of rotation of a rotating system (see Fig 6); a drive system interconnected to each of said multiple of independently rotatable masses to independently rotate each of said multiple of independently rotatable masses about said axis of rotation (pg 12 lines 3-6); and a control system in communication with said drive system to control an angular velocity of

at least one of said multiple of independently rotatable masses to reduce in-plane vibration of the rotating system (pg 5 lines 1-8).

Ueda does not disclose the multiple of independently rotatable masses disposed a predetermined distance from the axis of rotation.

Perry teaches the use of a multiple of independently rotatable masses (38, 52) extending from a radial arm (50.39) disposed a predetermined distance from the axis of rotation (35).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Ueda to include the rotatable masses as taught by Perry in order to increase the adjustability of the balance.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-3:30p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,215 Page 4

Art Unit: 3656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vicky A. Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656